

SRCEA Board Votes taken from August thru November 2009.

September meeting consisted of interview for the State Assembly position. No minutes taken at the October meeting.

- A. Email vote August 19, 2009: Motion made by Steve to move \$4,000 from one CD to the SRCEA checking account. Second by Linda. Motion passed.
- In favor: Alan, Carrie, Linda, Lynn, Mike, Noah, Steve, Tony
Against:
No Response: Chris, Jeff
- B. Email vote on September 18, 2009: Motion made by Linda that Board members get paid for all work time when attending training/seminars/conferences. Second by Noah. Motion defeated.
- In favor:
Against: Alan, Carrie, Chris, Jeff, Linda, Lynn, Mike, Noah
No Response: Steve, Tony
- C. Email vote on September 18, 2009: Motion made by Jeff that any Board member who wants to attend the PERS conference can go (\$275 registration, 2 night hotel, gas, food, salary). No second. Motion rescinded by maker.
- D. Email vote on September 21, 2009: Motion made by Mike and seconded to vote on the issue of "Board members should be compensated at their regular rate of pay for hours expended performing Association business when they are in a without pay status with the City of Santa Rosa". Motion passed.
- In favor: Alan, Linda, Lynn, Mike, Steve, Tony
Against: Carrie
No Response: Chris, Jeff, Noah
- E. Email vote on October 1, 2009: Motion made by Noah that the SRCEA pay travel, food, wages, hotel, and registration costs for 2 members (Lynn and Jeff) to attend the CalPERS Training Conference in San Jose for two nights and three days in October of 2009. Second by Mike. Motion passed.
- In favor: Jeff, Lynn, Mike, Noah, Steve, Tony
Against: Alan, Carrie, Chris, Linda
- F. Email vote started October 5, 2009: Motion made by Linda to accept the minutes from the July meeting. Second by Jeff. Motion passed.
- In favor: Carrie, Chris, Jeff, Linda, Mike, Steve, Tony
Against:
No Response: Alan, Lynn, Noah
- G. On November 3, 2009, the following motion was made by Steve to continue the planned reclassification of code enforcement inspectors and to not file a grievance on behalf of the building inspectors. There was no second.